

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
ASHEVILLE DIVISION

FILED  
IN COURT  
ASHEVILLE, N.C.

MAY - 4 2011

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
W. DIST. OF N.C.

DOCKET NO. 1:10CR75

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

1) BROOK DAVIS ROGERS,

Defendant.

**CONSENT ORDER AND  
JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE**

WHEREAS, the defendant BROOK DAVIS ROGERS has entered into a plea agreement (incorporated by reference herein) with the United States and has voluntarily pleaded guilty pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11 to one or more criminal offenses under which forfeiture may be ordered;

WHEREAS, the defendant and the United States stipulate and agree that the property described below constitutes property derived from or traceable to proceeds of the defendant's offense(s) herein; property involved in the offenses, or any property traceable to such property; and/or property used in any manner to facilitate the commission of such offense(s); or substitute property for which under 21 U.S.C. § 853(p) and Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e); and is therefore subject to forfeiture pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853 and/or 21 U.S.C. § 881(a), provided, however, that such forfeiture is subject to any and all third party claims and interests, pending final adjudication herein;

WHEREAS, the defendant herein waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcement of the forfeiture at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment against defendant;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2(b)(1) & (c)(2), the Court finds that there is the requisite nexus between the property and the offense(s) to which the defendant has pleaded guilty and/or that a personal money judgment in the amount of criminal proceeds may be entered and that the defendant has a legal interest in the property;

WHEREAS, the defendant withdraws any claim previously submitted in response to an administrative forfeiture or civil forfeiture proceeding concerning any of the property described below. If the defendant has not previously submitted such a claim, the defendant hereby waives all right to do so. If any administrative forfeiture or civil forfeiture proceeding concerning any of the property described below has previously been stayed, the defendant hereby consents to a lifting of the stay and consents to forfeiture;

WHEREAS, the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge is authorized to enter this Order by the previous Order of this Court No. 3:05MC302-C (September 8, 2005);

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

The following property is forfeited to the United States:

- A Piper Aerostar aircraft, registration number N680JM, which was seized on or about August 5, 2010;
- Two Apple I-PAD computers seized on or about August 5, 2010;
- Cellular Telephones seized on or about August 5, 2010.
- All other proceeds of the activity alleged in the Bill of Information.

The United States Marshal and/or other property custodian for the investigative agency is authorized to take possession and maintain custody of the above-described tangible property.

Upon the seizure of any property, the United States shall, to the extent practicable, provide direct written notice to any persons known to have alleged an interest in the seized property, and shall publish notice of this forfeiture as required by 21 U.S.C. § 853(n) and the aforementioned Order of this Court No. 3:05MC302-C (September 8, 2005).


Any person, other than the defendant, asserting any legal interest in the property may, within thirty days of the publication of notice or the receipt of notice, whichever is earlier, petition the court for a hearing to adjudicate the validity of the alleged interest.

Following the Court's disposition of all timely petitions filed, a final order of forfeiture shall be entered, as provided by Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(c)(2). If no third party files a timely petition, this order shall become the final order and judgment of forfeiture, as provided by Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(c)(2), and the United States shall have clear title to the property, and shall dispose of the property according to law.


ANNE M. TOMPKINS  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

  
BROOK DAVIS ROGERS  
Defendant

  
JILL WESTMORELAND ROSE  
Assistant United States Attorney

  
SEAN P. DEVEREUX  
Attorney for Defendant

Signed this the 4<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011.

  
DENNIS L. HOWELL  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE